


Oak Park NTMP Mobility Plan Project Area



Mobility Plan Ballot Summary

POSITION	N	Percent
Support	163	31.65%
Mixed	11	2.14%
Oppose	341	66.21%
Total	515	100.00%

(does not include 14 duplicates;
12 out of area; 2 no position
indicated)

Position by Tenancy

Tenancy	support		mixed		oppose		Total
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent	
live	57	47.90%	5	4.20%	57	47.90%	119
live/own	31	42.47%	1	1.37%	41	56.16%	73
own	47	30.72%	3	1.96%	103	67.32%	153
represent a business	3	6.82%		0.00%	41	93.18%	44
unknown	25	19.84%	2	1.59%	99	78.57%	126
Total	163	31.65%	11	2.14%	341	66.21%	515

Description of Delivery Method

Method of Delivery	N	Percent
dropoff	298	57.64%
email	21	4.06%
fax	23	4.45%
mail	175	33.85%
Grand Total	517	100.00%

Includes 2 ballots with no position indicated

Analysis of Overall Project Area by Delivery Method

POSITION	Delivery Method	N	Percent
Support ¹		163	31.65%
	dropoff	26	5.05%
	email	16	3.11%
	fax	13	2.52%
	mail	108	20.97%
Mixed		11	2.14%
	email	2	0.39%
	fax	1	0.19%
	mail	8	1.55%
Oppose		341	66.21%
	dropoff	271	52.62%
	email	3	0.58%
	fax	9	1.75%
	mail	58	11.26%
Grand Total		515	100.00%

Geographic Analysis

Oak Park

POSITION	N	Percent
Support ²	94	35.61%
Mixed	4	1.52%
Oppose	166	62.88%
Total	264	100.00%

Samarkand

POSITION	N	Percent
Support ³	69	27.49%
Mixed	7	2.79%
Oppose	175	69.72%
Total	251	100.00%

Chapala Street

POSITION	N	Percent
Support ⁴	34	70.83%
Mixed	2	4.17%
Oppose	12	25.00%
Total	48	100.00%

¹ Analysis of ballots submitted using fax, email or USPS delivery method increases support to 63% of 218 responses.

² Analysis of ballots submitted using fax, email or USPS delivery method increases support to approximately 66%.

³ Analysis of ballots submitted using fax, email or USPS delivery method increases support to approximately 61%.

⁴ Analysis of ballots submitted using fax, email or USPS delivery method increases support to approximately 84%.